

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,311	03/08/2004	Ren-Kang Chiou	SUP-002-1	2086	
22888 7:	590 03/07/2006		EXAMINER		
	FMAN & HARMS, LLP	BUI, HUNG S			
TRI-VALLEY 1432 CONCAN	NON BLVD., BLDG. G	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LIVERMORE,		2841			
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/200	DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/796,311	CHIOU ET AL.	CHIOU ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Hung S. Bui	2841				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.130 munication. tatutory period wing will, by statute,	TE OF THIS COMMU 6(a). In no event, however, may Il apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	NICATION.  If a reply be timely filed  IONTHS from the mailing date of this of a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a)□	•		action is non-final.					
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or e	lection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the d	rawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	-	•					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	aminer. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 0	application from the internation from the internation from the internation action.			not received				
	see the attached detailed Office action			ot received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	~~ 6 (6)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	·				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
  - Embodiment 1-1: figures 7, 8a, 8b, 8c, 17a, 17b and 17c;
  - Embodiment 1-2: figures 7, 8a, 8b, 8c, 18a, 18b and 18c;
  - Embodiment 1-3: figures 23a, 23b and 23c;
  - Embodiment 2-1: figure 9;
  - Embodiment 2-2: figures 19a, 19b and 19c;
  - Embodiment 3: figure 10;
  - Embodiment 4: figure 11;
  - Embodiment 5-1: figures 21a, 21b and 21c; and
  - Embodiment 5-2: figures 22a, 22b and 22c.

The species are independent or distinct because there are different kinds of latches in combination with corresponding securing members. Therefore, the PC card frame kit will have different structures.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/796,311

Art Unit: 2841

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,311

Art Unit: 2841

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hung S. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2/28/06

Hung Bui

Art Unit 2841

RANZY W. GIBSON

Page 4